



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/992,345	5 11/14/2001		Robert J. Eller	10240	7207
23455	7590	09/30/2005		EXAMINER	
		EMICAL COM	MASINICK, MICHAEL D		
5200 BAYWAY DRIVE P.O. BOX 2149				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BAYTOWN, TX 77522-2149				2125	

DATE MAILED: 09/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

h						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	:			
	09/992,345	ELLER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael D. Masinick	2125	:			
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perioral Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statue Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a red d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONinte, cause the application to become AB.	CATION. Sply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this co ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status			:			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	November 2003		:			
	nis action is non-final.		:			
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		ers, prosecution as to the	merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•				
Disposition of Claims			· ·			
			· :			
4) Claim(s) 1-50 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			:			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-50 are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner		:			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
•	an najority undor 25 II C.C. S	110(a) (d) or (f)	:			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received		:			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri		•	Stage			
application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		-			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	st of the certified copies not	received.				
•						
Attachment(s)		·				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)	:			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0.)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTC)-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

There are several claim issues that are noted in this application which affect the restriction/election given below. Examiner notes that claim 15 (and possibly claim 20) appear to be incorrectly dependant. Claim 15 deals with a scheduling system, and the claim on which it is currently dependant, claim 1, contains no such scheduling system. The dependant claim 14, which immediately precedes claim 15, deals with scheduling and leads the examiner to believe that the claim ordering should have resulted in claims 15 and 20 being dependant upon claim 14. This is how the restriction below is written. If this is not correct, applicant is asked to note this in the response and to indicate which claims they feel belong in the correct groupings indicated below.

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-13, 21-38, 49 and 50, drawn to a decision making process after manufacturing data is input, classified in class 700, subclass 95.
 - II. Claims 14-20, drawn to the creation of a schedule for manufacturing, classified in class 700, subclass 100.
 - Claims 39-46, drawn to data processing involving printing colors, classified in III. class 101, subclass 115.
 - IV. Claims 47 and 48, drawn to supply and demand forecasting, classified in class 705, subclass 10.

Application/Control Number: 09/992,345

Art Unit: 2125

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I, II, III, and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, each invention has a separate utility such as generic demand forecasting for manufacturing or decision making ability in any manufacturing system. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Page 3

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for each group is not required for the other groups, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Also, because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was made to Rick James on September 21, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

CONCLUSION

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael D. Masinick whose telephone number is (571) 272-3746. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 7:30-4:00.

Art Unit: 2125

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leo Picard can be reached on (571) 272-3749. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael D Masinick

Examiner

Art Unit 2125

MDM, September 26, 2005